







Machine Learning for Improved SNS Accelerator Health and Reliability

Yigit A. Yucesan

Contact: yucesanya@ornl.gov

for full team:

Malachi Schram, Kishan Rajput, Thomas Britton, Torri Jeske, Lasitha Vidyaratne, Sarah Cousineau (PI), Aaron Young, Austin Bullman, Bryan Maldonado, Cary Long, Charles Peters, Chris Pappas, Dan Lu, David Anderson, David Brown, David Womble, Drew Winder, Frank Liu, Hao Jiang, Hoang Tran, Jared Walden, Lianshan Lin, Majdi Radaideh, Mark Wezensky, Matt Howell, Miha Rescic, Mike Dayton, Narasinga Miniskar, Nolan Goth, Pradeep Ramuhalli, Rich Crompton, Sarma Gorti, Sasha Zhukov, Vivek Rathod, Willem Blokland, Yigit Yucesan

ORNL is managed by UT-Battelle, LLC for the US Department of Energy JLab is managed by Jefferson Sc. Assoc., LLC for the US Department of Energy



Spallation Neutron Source (SNS) Complex





Why is Machine Learning Becoming More Popular?



ML in a Nutshell:

*"**ML** is a branch of **AI** which focuses on the use of data and algorithms to imitate the way that humans learn, gradually improving its accuracy."

2. Annual Size of the Global Datasphere



1. Rise of GPU Computing



3. Open-Source Machine Learning Community





*https://www.ibm.com/cloud/blog/ai-vs-machine-learning-vs-deep-learning-vs-neural-networks *https://blogs.nvidia.com/blog/2017/05/24/ai-revolution-eating-software/ *https://medium.com/analytics-vidhya/the-5-vs-of-big-data-2758bfcc51d *https://devopedia.org/deep-learning-frameworks

CAK RIDGE

National Laboratory SOURCE

SPALLATION

Why Machine Learning at SNS?

•

NAPAC

• What is needed?

ational Laboratory | SOURCE

- Reduced downtime
- Preventive actions

- What we have?
 - Incomplete models
 - Large datasets





Machine Learning Use Cases at SNS and Important Performance Metrics



BES Grant, PI: Sarah Cousineau Use cases to utilize ML:

- 1. Beam-based:
 - Predict errant beam
- 2. High Voltage Converter Modulators:
 - Predict component failure
- 3. Target:
 - Improve useful life
- 4. Cryogenic Moderator System:
 - Controller for failure prevention

Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) curve







Use Case #1: Predict Errant Beam w/ Existing Sensors



Goal: Prevent cavity damage and avoid system downtime

~1 ms





Single macropulse



Differential Current Monitor (DCM) to protect SCL from beam loss damage (2013)*

*Blokland, Willem, and Peters, Charles C. A NEW DIFFERENTIAL AND ERRANT BEAM CURRENT MONITOR FOR THE SNS ACCELERATOR. IBIC 2013 conference proceedings, pp921 to 924, Oxford, United Kingdom, Sep 16, 2013 - Sep 19, 2013

DCM archives 25 pulses before errant

Build a ML model to predict whether the macropulse leads to an errant beam!





Anomaly Types and Aimed Model Performance





- Success criteria for ML:
 - \rightarrow identify more than half of the errant beams (TPR \geq 50%)
 - \rightarrow with an allowed misclassification of 1 in 2000 pulses (FPR $\leq 0.05\%$)





Early Works Show Promising Accuracy for Anomaly Detection

K-Nearest Neighbor Method



False Positive Rate (Positive label: 1)

Random Forest Method

Models can identify errant beams, but number of misclassified normal beams are unacceptable.





NAPAC

Siamese Neural Networks Compare Signals and Learn







9

Deterministic Siamese Model Successfully Identify Errant Beams with Acceptable Misclassification Rate



With TPR~65% at FPR<0.05% model performs satisfactorily, but lacks confidence in predictions!



*Blokland, W., Ramuhalli, P., Peters, C., Yucesan, Y., Zhukov, A., Schram, M., ... & Jeske, T. (2021). Uncertainty aware anomaly detection to predict errant beam pulses in the SNS accelerator. arXiv preprint arXiv:2110.12006.



ΝΔΡΔΟ

Probabilistic Siamese Model Incorporate Gaussian Approximation to Provide Prediction Uncertainty



Anomaly types not seen by model have high uncertainty
TPR slightly drops but we have tight confidence bounds





ΝΔΡΔ

Field Implementation of Siamese and RF allow us to validate models w/ real-time data

- Installed duplicate DCM (DCML)
- Implement Siamese model on DCML RT
- Implement RF on FPGA
- Analyze all incoming beam current waveforms



Once the implementation is completed, online validation can be done



Pulse-by-pulse analysis on up/down stream both Siamese and RF



Multiple inferences with different references per beam pulse



ΝΔΡΔΛ

SPALLATION Neutron Source

Investigating Artifacts Observed w/ Online Inference *





End goal is to use the model to abort the accelerator and reduce overall downtime caused by errant beams!

SPALLATION Neutron Source



Use Case #2: High Voltage Converter Modulator Prognostics



1. Problem



Transistor failure due to transformer saturation





TPR > 50% at low FPR zone



ConvLSTM AutoEncoder for anomaly detection

*WEPA38 - Progress on Machine Learning for the SNS High Voltage Converter Modulators.



*Radaideh, M. I., Pappas, C., Walden, J., Lu, D., Vidyaratne, L., Britton, T., ... & Cousineau, S. Time Series Anomaly Detection in Power Electronics Signals with Recurrent and Convlstm Autoencoders. Available at SSRN 4069225.



Use Case #3: Improve Target Lifetime with ML





*WEPA37 - Benchmarking and Exploring Parameter Space of the Rayleigh-Plesset Model for Liquid Mercury Target Simulation



*Radaideh, M. I., Tran, H., Lin, L., Jiang, H., Winder, D., Gorti, S., ... & Cousineau, S. (2022). Model Calibration of the Liquid Mercury Spallation Target using Evolutionary Neural Networks and Sparse Polynomial Expansions. arXiv preprint arXiv:2202.09353.

CAK RIDGE

National Laboratory SOURCE

Use Case #4: Cryogenic Moderator System ML Controller





End goal: build an ML-based controller to tune system parameters to prevent CMS trips.





6

Summary



Machine Learning to Improve SNS Reliability

- Errant beam detection validated offline with Siamese and RF models
- We are going online to test real-time inference! ٠
- Once online validation completed, we can abort the beam using ٠ model predictions and contribute to the operations!
- Three other use-cases with significant progress
 - HVCM model also being deployed for online validation
 - Target model is improved to include more design parameters
 - Thank you for your attention! Cryo system has many unknowns and not as much data as we like



Questions?



BACKUPS





18

Machine Learning in a Nutshell



"**Al** leverages computers and machines to mimic the problem-solving and decision-making capabilities of the human mind."

"**ML** is a branch of **AI** which focuses on the use of data and algorithms to imitate the way that humans learn, gradually improving its accuracy."

"**DL** as a subset of **ML** use neural networks with hidden layers to learn from vast amount of data."

https://www.ibm.com/cloud/blog/ai-vs-machine-learning-vs-deep-learning-vs-neural-networks







Why Machine Learning at SNS

- Not all problems are (can be) well defined or understood
 - System not well understood (cryo loop), models incomplete (target, HVCM)
 - Large data sets that are hard or not suitable to process with classical methods

- Many improvements have been made over the years, but we still have downtimes → can ML decrease downtimes even further?
 - Proton Power Upgrade
 - Second Target Station







Machine Learning Types





• Slides from tutorial at SNS complete with demo code





Backbone of ML: Artificial Neural Networks







22

CAK RIDGI

ational Laborator

Neural Networks Training: Forward and Backward Pass

Forward

- Outputs and ground truth data used to calculate the loss function
- Selection of the loss function depends on the problem:

Probabilistic

- Mean Squared ErrorMean Absolute Error
- Deterministic
- KL Divergence
- Maximum I ikelihood

Backward

- Gradients calculated using chain rule
- Loss and activation functions must be differentiable (or have the gradients provided)



$$a_1 = w_1 x$$

 $\hat{y} = a_2 = w_2 a_1$
 $\Lambda = (y - \hat{y})^2$ Lambda = loss function



 $\partial \Lambda \partial a_2 \partial a_1$ $\partial \Lambda$ $\frac{\partial w_1}{\partial w_1} = \frac{\partial a_2}{\partial a_2} \frac{\partial a_1}{\partial a_1} \frac{\partial w_1}{\partial w_1}$



Machine Learning Performance Metrics

- Concepts:
 - ROC curve: Receiver Operating Characteristic curve is a graphical plot that illustrates the diagnostic ability of a binary classifier system as its discrimination threshold is varied.
 - True Positive Rate (TPR) = TP/P = TP/(TP+FN)
 - TP= True Positives, P = Positives, FN = False Negative
 - False Positive Rate (FPR) = FP/N = FP/TN+FP
 - FP=False Positives, N=Negatives
 - For SNS: FPR = FP/N \approx FP(N+P) as N>>P

We want low FP or FPR and high TP or TPR

ROC curve showing the performance of the ML method







ML Projects at SNS Accelerator and Target

- PhD Student Miha Rescic (Huddersfield University, Rebecca Seviour)
 - 1. Errant beam prediction using beam current data (2015)
- BES Grant, PI: Sarah Cousineau
 - 1. Beam-based: Predict errant beam, classify equipment faults
 - 2. Target: Improve target modeling to increase lifetime
 - 3. HVCM: Predict failure and prognostics to determine component lifetime remaining
 - 4. CMS: Better controller algorithm to reduce downtime





Use Case #1: Predict Errant Beam w/ Diagnostic Data

• Goal

- Prevent cavity damage and avoid equipment down times
- Approach
 - Expensive to install diagnostics per equipment. But equipment affects beam ightarrow leaves fingerprint
 - Use existing diagnostics → Differential Beam Current Monitor
 - Archives at full rep rate (LabVIEW FPGA and RT) when beam is aborted



Differential Current Monitor to protect SCL from beam loss damage (2013)*

Blokland, Willem, and Peters, Charles C. A NEW DIFFERENTIAL AND ERRANT BEAM CURRENT MONITOR FOR THE SNS ACCELERATOR. IBIC 2013 conference proceedings, pp921 to 924, Oxford, United Kingdom, Sep 16, 2013 - Sep 19, 2013





DCM archives not only errant beam pulses but also up to 25 pulses before and two after → the before pulse becomes the "abnormal" class pulse



lefferson La

Aimed Model Performance TPR > 50% & FPR < 0.05%

• The DCM archives data:

- . When (Downstream Upstream) > threshold
 - Beam loss in the SCL: 1111 events
- 2. When the pulse is truncated
 - Beam loss upstream or aborted by another device: 1100 events
- Metrics: How well should ML perform
 - March 2021, production was 26.4 days, 1.5% beam lost
 - 0.22% beam lost due to SCL beam loss
 - 1.30% beam lost due to truncated beam
 - We need to predict a fraction of the errant pulses: TPR ≈ 50%
 - We shouldn't add much down-time due to false positives
 - An insignificant amount would be 0.2% of beam pulses
 - but penalty is 4 pulses per abort

→ we want to achieve a FPR $\approx 0.05\%$





Trip statistics derived from DCM data



 \rightarrow While there is indication that we find precursors, we abort too much beam

Up to 75% success rate but very high FPR

Early Works by Miha: K-NN Method

Method

- K-Nearest Neighbor Method using different distance functions L1, L2, and CC

Results



K-NN: Assign new data point class based on

distance to training set data points



K-NN Plot: Very typical of K-NN is to get better success when increasing K at first but eventually for large K it will mimic the ratio of good and bad pulses





SPALLATION National Laboratory

Beam-based: Errant Beam Work by Miha* Method

- Random Forest classifier with 100 estimators
- Improvements: PCA, FFT, Voting, different dataset sizes



Results

- No SCL beam loss: 40/233 predicted trips, 6531 false alarms
- SCL beam loss: 20/27 predicted trips, 4133 false alarm
- (~5,184,000 pulses per day)

We predict 75% of SCL beam loss pulses with ~0.2% *4 of good beam aborted.





*M. Reščič, R. Seviour, W. Blokland, Improvements of pre-emptive identification of particle accelerator failures using binary classifiers and dimensionality reduction, NIM-A, Volume 1025, 2022, 166064, ISSN 0168-9002, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2021.166064.



29

we have an abi

SURCE National Laboratory

- Differential Current Monitor data:
 - Identify the faulty equipment using labeled Machine Protection System (MPS) data
 - Siamese twin model to detect abnormal beam pulses
 - This model looks at similarities of two inputs and provides you with a similarity value





Beam-based: Next Phase

- Approaches
 - Beam Position Monitor phase data:
 - Map upstream to downstream to detect abnormal pulses. If mapped version differs from measured, then we have an abnormal condition

hen

BPM phase turn-by-turn data





How Random Forest on FPGA Voting Works?









Siamese Neural Networks Compare Signals and Learn



- By using a reference pulse from the training set, we can compare a normal pulse to a normal reference pulse to see if they are still similar (if not, retrain)
- We can run multiple inferences of same pulse versus multiple references to majority vote
- Similarity allows to classify pulses not seen before



DCM data: 60 Hz pulses sampled at 100 MS/s



32

Alternative Approach: Promising Performance w/ BPM Phase Data





Jefferson Lab

1.0

Beam-based; Equipment Fault Classification



Goal: identify the equipment causing errant beam

• Unsupervised Clustering:



CAK RIDGE SPALLATION National Laboratory SOURCE



Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs): Training Test





• Siamese model:

Use gradCAM* to generate heatmaps and see if heatmaps are different for different equipment



*Gradient-weighted Class Activation Mapping





Sustainable ML Framework Underway for SNS

Managing model lineage, hyperparameters, routine validation tests, new features



Infrastructure Design







NAPAC

High Voltage Converter Modulators

HVCM Issue:

CAK RIDGE SPALLATION National Laboratory SOURCE

 Capacitor degradation during the pulse time causes anomalies in the signals, that could potentially lead to catastrophic failure.



HVCM

- Research: How to minimize downtime due to the modulator
- Approach:
 - Abort beam before failure
 - Prognostics: predict component health.
 Capacitors slowly drop in capacitance over a periods of years, then fail suddenly
- Status:
 - Initial ML NN predicted HVCM failure
 - But we had a high FPR >10% \rightarrow promising there is info in the waveforms
 - SPICE model of HVCM to research effect of capacitor values on measured waveforms
 - Second approach with LSTM and Conv1D



Transistor failure due to transformer saturation



Failure prediction



SPALLATION National Laboratory

HVCM



ML Technique: Self-constructors:

• Used mainly for dimensionality reduction, image noise removal, and anomaly detection (or binary classification). Latent space represents most important features. One type is the auto-encoder.





National Laboratory SOURCE

HVCM

- Recurrent neural networks perform well in time sequences.
- Train on normal data to make it reproduce normal data. If the output waveform is not close to the input waveform, then we have an anomalous waveform.
- Conv1D will help to improve the latent space features.
- LSTM (Long Short Term Memory) will properly capture the time-series dynamics.







Radaideh, M. I., et al. "Time Series Anomaly Detection in Power Electronics Signals with Recurrent and ConvLSTM Autoencoders." *Digital Signal Processing* (2022): Under Review.<u>https://papers.ssm.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=406</u> 9225



HVCM: Prognostics Neural Net modeling of waveform:



Step 1: Generate SPICE simulation data



Step 3: Testing: determine component value. E.g. simulated waveform capacitance estimate of 1609 pF versus 1550 pF.



Step 2: Training: Neural Network (NN) learns the relationship between capacitor values and waveforms

• Plan:

- Determine effect of other circuit parameters: charge voltage, switching frequency and the transformer leakage inductances. This is where we expect ML to show its strengths.



Target Machine Learning

- Research: How to increase target lifetime
- Approach:
 - Use surrogate model to get faster simulation
 - Develop multi-phase physics model for mercury with gas bubbles
 - Match strain measurements to verify the simulation based on model (Sierra with VUMAT)
 - Train ML surrogate using polynomial approximations
- Status:
 - Using HPC resources to execution model-based simulation and train surrogate
 - Multiple different surrogate models are tested to identify the best metric and best model for the problems and design parameters







Target: Mercury Vessel





42

Target: Inverse Problem



We can use an accurate calibrated simulation to carry fatigue analysis and estimate target life and maintenance times*

*Mach, Justin, et al. "Fatigue analysis of the Spallation Neutron Source 2 MW target design." *Nuclear Instruments and Methods Section A* 1010 (2021): 165481.

Inverse Problem: find the model parameters (x) to minimize the difference between the measurements and the model



- Now: Equation of State Model for cavitation in mercury (3 unknown parameters)
- □ Future: Rayleigh-Plesset Model for general bubble dynamics (8 parameters)



Initial focus on the 3-parameter model[&]

x1: Tensile cutoff threshold (Pa)x2: Mercury Density (kg/m3)x3: Mercury Speed of Sound (m/s)

&Radaideh, M. I., et al. "Bayesian Inverse Uncertainty Quantification of the Physical Model Parameters for the Spallation Neutron Source First Target Station". <u>https://arxiv.org/abs/2202.03959</u>, Accepted in Results in Physics



Target: Surrogate Model*

The method has four major parts:

- 1. Neural networks act as surrogate model to replace the expensive Sierra code.
- 2. Sensor data collected from the target.
- 3. External optimization algorithm (e.g. genetic algorithms).
- 4. Objective function brings 1-3 together.

The objective function applies to the simulation parameters that make simulation and data close.

ection B. Under Review





Cryogenic Moderator System

- Research: how to avoid long CMS trips
- Approach:
 - Not a lot of data to apply ML for predictions
 - Use simulation to generate data
 - Improve whole system modeling by combination of model and data-driven ML techniques
 - ML-based controller
- Status:
 - Building of the CMS model







System layout



CMS: thermal-hydraulic and data-driven models





CAK RIDGE SPALLATION National Laboratory SOURCE